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Abstract

The xanthid genus *Glyptoxanthus* A. Milne-Edwards, 1879, known from the tropical eastern Pacific, western and eastern Atlantic, and the Red Sea, is revised as part of an ongoing revision of the subfamily Euxanthinae Alcock, 1898. Analysis of morphological characters shows that *Glyptoxanthus* must be excluded from the subfamily Euxanthinae and placed in a distinct subfamily, *Glyptoxanthinae* nov. subfam. The new subfamily has affinities to Euxanthinae, Actaeinae Alcock, 1898, and Zalasiinae Serène, 1968, but it is distinguished from these by a suite of characters in the thoracic sternum, third maxillipeds, epistome, chelipeds, and male abdomen and gonopods. All the included species are re-diagnosed, with additional comments and updates on their biology and distribution. In an effort to stabilize the taxonomy of the genus and some of its species, neotypes were selected for *G. erosus* (Stimpson, 1859) (type species), *G. labyrinthicus* (Stimpson, 1860), and *G. meandricus* (Lockington, 1877). A key to the genus is included.
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Introduction

The genus *Glyptoxanthus* was established by A. Milne-Edwards (1879) to receive six species from the eastern and western coasts of the Americas and the western coast of Africa. The six species had been placed under different genera at that time: *Cancer vermiculatus* Lamarck, 1818, *Actaea erosa* Stimpson, 1859, *Actaea labyrinthica* Stimpson, 1860, *Xantho corrosus* A. Milne-Edwards, 1869, *Actaea angolensis* Brito Capello, 1866, and *Actaea cavernosa* A. Milne-Edwards, 1878. All these species were characterized by the prominent vermiculate sculpture of the carapace and pereopods. A seventh species, *Actaea meandrica* Lockington, 1877, described from the Gulf of California, was missed by A. Milne-Edwards (1879). An eighth species, *Actaea meandrina* Klunzinger (1913), was described from the Red Sea. Rathbun (1930) subsequently selected *A. erosa* as the type species of the genus. She also considered *A. meandrica* Lockington, 1877, a junior synonym of *Glyptoxanthus labyrinthicus* (Stimpson, 1860). The ninth, and last, species to be described was *G. hancocki* Garth, 1939, from the Galápagos Islands. Some (Odhner, 1925; Monod 1956) did not recognize *Glyptoxanthus* as a valid genus, considering it as a junior synonym or subgenus of *Actaea* De Haan, 1833. Guinot (1967, 1979), however, citing earlier authors, particularly A. Milne-Edwards (1879), Rathbun (1930) and Garth (1939, 1946), considered *Glyptoxanthus* to be a distinct and homogenous grouping apart from *Actaea*, citing its many unique morphological features. She went on further to include *Glyptoxanthus* in the subfamily Euxanthinae Alcock, 1898, citing morphological similarities with the core genera *Euxanthus* Dana, 1851, and *Hypocolpus* Rathbun, 1897 (i.e., condition of carapace anterolateral margin, coaptation of carapace and pereopods, condition of male gonopods). This classification has been adopted by subsequent authors (Serène 1984; Ng et al. 2008; De Grave et al. 2009).
A detailed examination of the morphology of all of the included species of Euxanthinae (sensu Ng et al. 2008) has shown that it is not a monophyletic grouping, and that Glyptoxanthus is morphologically distinct from all Euxanthinae (see below) as well as other xanthids, thus necessitating the establishment of a separate subfamily for it. These results were corroborated by molecular data from a more inclusive study on the phylogeny of the Xanthidae MacLeay, 1838, using multiple genes as well as larval and adult morphology (Lai et al. in press).

Material and methods

Measurements for the material examined are shown as carapace length × carapace width. The terminology of Dana (1851) is used for the designation of the carapace regions, e.g., 2M, 1P, etc. The terms “groove” and “furrow” as used in the context of carapace sculpture are not inter-changeable, “groove” referring to the long depressions delineating and separating the carapace regions and “furrow” to the smaller and shorter depressions within each of the carapace regions.

The following abbreviations are used: a1-a6, abdominal somites 1–6; G1, first male pleopod, or first gonopod; G2, second male pleopod, or second gonopod; mxp1-mxp3, first to third maxillipeds; P1-P5, first to fifth pereopods (P1 as chelipeds). The thoracic somites and sternites are numbered from 1 to 8. The thoracic sternal sutures are referred to by the number of the two sternites that they involve, and are thus numbered from 1/2 to 7/8. Where stated, “the Code” refers to the International Code of Zoological Nomenclature (ICZN, 1999).

The material examined is deposited in the following collections: Natural History Museum of Los Angeles County, Los Angeles (LACM); Muséum national d’Histoire naturelle, Paris (MNHN); Naturalis, Nationaal Natuurhistorisch Museum, Leiden (RMNH); Smithsonian National Museum of Natural History, Washington, D.C. (USNM); and Zoological Reference Collection, Raffles Museum of Biodiversity Research, Singapore (ZRC).

Systematic account

Xanthoidea MacLeay, 1838

Xanthidae MacLeay, 1838

Glyptoxanthinae nov. subfam.

Type genus. Glyptoxanthus A. Milne-Edwards, 1879.

Diagnosis. Carapace transversely ovate, robust; dorsal surface typically ornamented with prominent ridges formed by fused granules, arranged in regular reticulate or vermiculate patterns; surrounded by dense setae; cervical groove deep. Front deflexed, quadriliolate. Anterolateral margins long, arcuate, divided into 4 low lobes, anterior part descending toward buccal cavity; posterolateral margins shorter, deeply concave. Suborbital region eroded; subhepatic region with narrow canals continuing from grooves on dorsal surface. Orbits small, round. Anterior part of proepistome touching, almost protruding through deep, median notch of front. Basal article of antenna large, subtrapezoidal, advanced ventrally; flagellum short. Epistome small, posterior margin with 2 prominent notches laterally. Endostome with prominent oblique ridges on posterior half. Lacinia of mxp1 not produced transversely. Outer surface of mxp3 eroded; anterior margin of merus deeply notched. Male thoracic sternum narrow, deeply eroded, often with reticulate sculpturing. Thoracic sternal sutures 4/5, 5/6 interrupted, with short interruption points, sutures 6/7, 7/8 complete. Sternite 7 narrow, with anterior portion slightly larger than episternite; episternite 7 locking tightly with lateral expansion of abdominal somite 3. Sternal press-button prominent, placed on posterior half of sternite 5. Median line on sternite 4 in anterior, nearly independent, prolongation of sterno-abdominal cavity, then interrupted except for short, recessed groove inside sterno-abdominal cavity, absent on sternite 5, present on posterior half of sternite 6, entirely extended along sternites 7, 8. Pereopods tightly coapted to carapace and to one another; external surfaces similarly sculpted as carapace. Chelipeds equal, homochelous, homodontus, robust; fingers stout, channeled, blunt. Ambulatory legs short, flattened. Male abdomen long, constricted at junction of somites 5, 6, tip of telson just reaching level of coxo-sternal condyles of cheliped coxae; outer surface with pronounced transverse ridges, vermiculations; somites 3–5 immovably fused, but with sutures visible, complete on
carapace, antennae, epistome, endostome, thoracic sternum, male abdomen and male pleopods of 1).

Remarks. In the molecular phylogeny of the Xanthidae by Lai et al. (in press: fig. 1), a considerable number of subfamilies as constituted in Ng et al. (2008) were not entirely supported (e.g., Actaeinae, Euxanthinae, Liomerinae, Xanthinae and Zosiminae). Glyptoxanthus A. Milne-Edwards, 1879 (represented by G. erosus), was found to be basal to a clade containing representatives of Zalasius Rathbun, 1897, Banarea A. Milne-Edwards, 1869, and Calvactaea Ward, 1933 (i.e., Zalasiinae Serène, 1968), instead of being in Euxanthinae Alcock, 1898, where it has been traditionally included. This has led to a re-examination of morphological features that would corrobore this new finding.

Glyptoxanthus has been previously placed under Euxanthinae on account of the anterolateral margins of its carapace descending toward the buccal cavity, the close coaptation of the pereopods and the carapace, as well as similarities in the general form of the carapace, thoracic sternum, pereopods, abdomen and gonopods (Guinot, 1979). In fact it was thought to be closely related to euxanthine genera, such as Lipaesthes Rathbun, 1898, Carpoporus Stimpson, 1871, Euxanthus Dana, 1851, Hypocolpus Rathbun, 1897, and Visayax Mendoza & Ng, 2008 (see Rathbun 1930; Guinot 1967; Mendoza & Ng 2008). Several features, however, argue for the separation of Glyptoxanthus from the Euxanthinae: 1) a quadrilobate front, with the anterior margin of each half deeply notched to allow free movement of antennules (bilobed front, with anterior margins straight or slightly concave in Euxanthinae); 2) anterior margin of mxp3 merus is deeply notched (entire in Euxanthinae); 3) subhepatic regions have narrow canals similar in form to those seen in Banarea A. Milne-Edwards, 1869 (absent in Euxanthinae); 4) epistome is relatively small, its posterior margin with deep, prominent lateral notches (epistome relatively extensive; notches replaced by closed sutures in Euxanthinae); 5) endostome has salient, oblique ridges on its posterior half (absent or poorly developed in Euxanthinae); 6) lacinia of mxp1 is not well produced transversely (lacinia well produced transversely, mesial tip reaching center of endostome in Euxanthinae); 7) sternal press-button is located on the posterior half of sternite 5, close to suture 5/6 (press-button located on anterior portion of sternite 5, near suture 4/5 in Euxanthinae); 8) sutures 3/4 and 4/5 are visible and complete externally, but obsolete internally, and remaining as notches on the sternal margins of fused somites in Euxanthinae); 9) a strong locking mechanism between abdominal somite 3 and thoracic sternite 7 in both sexes, making it difficult to unfold the abdomen from the sternum (locking mechanism between abdominal somite 3 and sternite 7 weak and rudimentary, especially in females, in Euxanthinae); 10) tip of the telson just reaches the level of the coxo-sternal condyles of P1 coxae, never going beyond (anterior to) this level (tip of telson reaching beyond (anterior to) level of condyles of P1 coxae in Euxanthinae); and 11) G1 simple in form, and without long, simple or plumose, subterminal setae (more complex in form with variously shaped apical lobes, and with few to several, long, simple or plumose, subterminal setae in Euxanthinae). These differences are substantial enough to warrant recognizing a separate subfamily for the genus.

Glyptoxanthus, although a sister-group to the Zalasiinae in the molecular tree (Lai et al. in press: fig. 1), and with similar morphologies in the general shape of the carapace, the notched epistome, and the tight coaptation of the pereopods (as in Banarea A. Milne-Edwards, 1869), also cannot be classified under Zalasiinae. Guinot (1976, under Trichiinae De Haan, 1839) discussed and illustrated the main features of the Zalasiinae. One of the primary features of Zalasiinae, the deflexed, flattened and blade-like fingers of the chelipeds, is not seen in Glyptoxanthus, which has thick, robust and deeply channeled fingers. Likewise, the long, narrow, triangular anterior section of the thoracic sternum (fused sternites 1, 2) which intrudes into the buccal cavity between the bases of the mxp3, is much reduced, shorter and relatively wider in Glyptoxanthus. Furthermore, zalasiines characteristically have pronounced grooves on the anterior portion of the sterno-abdominal cavity in the males, which receive the distal ends of the G1s. The distal portions of the G1s extend beyond the anterior border of the sterno-abdominal cavity and are thus exposed (Fig. 1; also Guinot, 1976: figs. 25, 27). The carapace and pereopods of zalasiines are also typically covered by a dense pilosity. These features are not observed in Glyptoxanthus.

Although many species of Glyptoxanthus had been initially placed in the genus Actaeus or have been thought to be related to it, the molecular phylogeny does not support such a relationship. The unique features observed in the carapace, antennae, epistome, endostome, thoracic sternum, male abdomen and male pleopods of Glyptoxanthus, can be similarly used to distinguish it from Actaeus De Haan, 1833, and other Actaeinae Alcock, 1898 (also see Fig. 1).
As *Glyptoxanthus* cannot be clearly classified into any existing xanthid subfamily, it is necessary to establish a new subfamily to accommodate it, Glyptoxanthinae nov. subfam.

**Glyptoxanthus** A. Milne-Edwards, 1879


*Actaea* (in part), Klunzinger 1913: 185 [89].


**Type species.** *Actaea erosa* Stimpson, 1859, subsequent designation by Rathbun 1930; gender masculine.

**Diagnosis.** Carapace transversely ovate, broader than long, width-to-length ratio about 1.4, thick when viewed head-on or from the side; regions more-or-less defined but often entirely or partially coalesced, dorsal surface typically ornamented with prominent ridges formed by fused granules, arranged in regular reticulate or vermiculate patterns, surrounded by dense setae; cervical groove between gastro-cardiac and branchial regions deep. Front deflexed, quadrilobate, with deep median notch and deep, lateral concavities on anterior margin. Anterolateral margins long, arcuate, divided into 4 low lobes, anterior part descending toward buccal cavity; posterolateral margins shorter, deeply concave; posterior margin straight. Suborbital regions eroded; subhepatic and pterygostomian regions granulate, setose, subhepatic region with narrow canals continuing from furrows on dorsal surface.

Orbits small, round. Antennules folding obliquely. Anterior part of proepistome touching and almost protruding through deep, median notch of front. Basal article of antenna large, subtrapezoidal, advanced ventrally, and not sunken between front and infraorbital tooth; flagellum short, entering orbital hiatus. Epistome small, posterior margin with 2 prominent notches laterally. Posterolateral part of endostome with prominent oblique ridges. Lacinia of mxp1 not produced transversely. Mxp3 eroded; anterior margin of merus deeply notched; ischium with deep, submedian sulcus; mesial margin of exopod bulging into matching concavity on ischium, distal end deflected laterally.

Thoracic sternum narrow, deeply eroded, often with reticulate sculpturing; sternite 1 and 2 completely fused, separated from sternite 3 by deep suture; sternite 3 and 4 partially fused at center; median cavity on sternite 4 just anterior to male telson, with short median line within; sterno-abdominal cavity deep; press-button prominent, placed on posterior half of sternite 5; sternite 7 narrow, with anterior portion slightly larger than episternite, which in turn locks very firmly with lateral expansions of-abdominal somite 3; sternite 8 entirely covered by abdomen.

Pereopods very tightly coapted to the carapace and to one another. Chelipeds equal, robust, fingers stout and blunt; external surfaces of carpus and palm with granulate, vermiculate lobules surrounded by short setae and deep furrows; merus short, granulate; dactylus and fixed finger with deep, longitudinal channels on external surface, with large, granulate tuberosity on supero-proximal end of dactylus. Ambulatory legs short, flattened; sculpturing on external surfaces similar to that in chelipeds.

Male abdomen long, constricted at junction of somites 5 and 6, tip of telson just reaching level of coxo-sternal condyles of cheliped coxae; outer surface with pronounced transverse ridges and vermiculations; somites 3–5 immovably fused, but with sutures visible and complete on external surface; somite 3 with abrupt lateral expansions, equipped with cupuliform depressions which receive and interlock with episternite of sternite 7.

G1 long, slender, bluntly tipped, opening apically; distal half armed with spiniform tubercles, sometimes with short, simple setae, never with long, plumose, subterminal setae. G2 about one-fourth length of G1.

**Remarks.** *Glyptoxanthus* species are rare to uncommon, and are usually found from the intertidal zone to moderate depths (0–90 m), usually in rocky substrate, and distributed along the western and eastern coasts of the Americas, the Caribbean Sea, the western coast of Africa, and the Red Sea. The different taxa are easily separable by the pattern of the vermiculations on the dorsal surface of the carapace. Their live coloration (variegated or mottled) and their morphology suggest that they are probably sedentary, relying on camouflaging to avoid potential predators. Not much is known about their ecology, save for some remarks on the nature of the substrates and the depths from which they have been sampled (e.g., Garth 1946; Manning & Holthuis 1981).
Key to species of *Glyptoxanthus*

1. Carapace regions 2M and 3M fully or partially fused .......................... 2
   - Carapace regions 2M and 3M completely separated from each other by wide or narrow groove ............................. 7

2. 3M fused to internal part of 2M throughout most of its length; cervical and branchio-cardiac grooves wide, deep; gastric and branchial regions poorly subdivided; vermiculations distinctly anastomosing, reticulate .................. *G. corrusus*
   - 3M fused to internal part of 2M only posteriorly .................................................. 3

3. 2M completely divided longitudinally; vermiculations smooth, punctuated by small, round cavities .................. *G. labyrinthicus*
   - 2M incompletely divided longitudinally, rarely not divided at all ........................................ 4

4. 2M clearly but partially divided longitudinally, inner and outer branches fused anteriorly; 1P with 2 parallel, transverse furrows ........................................... 5
   - 2M not clearly divided longitudinally; 1P punctuated with several small, subcircular cavities, never with parallel transverse furrows ........................................... 6

5. Carapace vermiculations relatively thin, simple, the intervening furrows wide; large, oblongate cavity on 5L... *G. meandrus*
   - Carapace vermiculations relatively thick, anfractuous, intervening furrows narrow; no large, oblongate cavity on 5L ... .................. *G. vermiculatus*

6. Carapace vermiculations consisting of fused, small, rounded lobules arranged in linear fashion, or clustered in small circles which resemble “doughnuts”; lobules immediately posterior to 1P thick, subcircular to oblong .......................... *G. angolensis*
   - Carapace vermiculations continuous within each subregion, more regular, symmetric; lobules immediately posterior to 1P thiner, irregular in shape .......................................................... *G. erosus*

7. Vermiculations and lobules on carapace, thoracic sternum, abdomen and pereopods appearing rough due to several individual, round granules, only partially fused at bases, clearly visible under low magnification .................. *G. meandrus*
   - Vermiculations and lobules relatively smooth .............................................................................. 8

8. Carapace vermiculations thick, petaloid, some assuming a “four-leaf clover” shape; intervening furrows narrow; X-shaped 2P region divided into separate lobules; anterior thoracic sternum weakly eroded, with scattered oblique fissures, particularly on posterior parts .................................................. *G. cavernosus*
   - Carapace vermiculations convoluted; intervening furrows relatively wider; X-shaped 2P region entire; anterior thoracic sternum much eroded, forming regular and symmetric patterns of ridges and cavities .......... *G. hancocki*

---

**Glyptoxanthus angolensis** (Brito Capello, 1866)

(Figs. 2, 10A)


*Glyptoxanthus* sp., Sorie 1954: 112.

---

**Material examined. Equatorial Guinea:** 1 male, 24.7 x 17.1 mm (RMNH-D31547), stn 282, 23 m, 01º28’S, 5º36’E, Annobon Is., coll. *Pillsbury*, 21 May 1965; 1 male, 12.0 x 8.2 mm (USNM 127170), drag net, 35–55 m, 01º28.5’S, 5º37.5’E, south coast of Annobon Is., coll. F. Poinsard, 16 Jun. 1967; 1 female, 16.5 x 11.3 mm (USNM 170332), dredge, 16–21 fms (29–38 m), Annobon Is., coll. *Pillsbury*, 21 May 1965.

**Gabon:** 1 male, 49.9 x 34.4 mm (MNHN-B8352), Mayumbo Verques, Congo Français, 1899.

**Senegal:** 1 female, 35.5 x 25.4 mm (MNHN-B7410), Dakar, anse Bernard, coll. Sorie, det. Th. Monod, 1953; 1 male, 13.8 x 9.6 mm (RMNH-D40257), stn SEN 08, 0.5–6m, boulder beach, near Lido Hotel, southern tip of Cape Verde Peninsula between Cap Manuel & Pointe Bernard, coll. 2 Jun. 1982; 1 male, 26.8 x 18.7 mm (RMNH-D40255), stn SEN 12, snorkelling, 1–5 m, sandy bay between Ile de N’Gor & Plage du Virage, N coast of Cape Verde Peninsula, coll. 23–24 Nov. 1983; 1 male, 16.8 x 11.5 mm, 1 female, 24.0 x 16.5 mm (RMNH-D40256), stn SEN 17, snorkelling, 0.4 m, protected bay with sand, stone & boulders, facing Ile de N’Gor, N coast of Cape Verde Peninsula, La Calao, coll. 28, 30 Nov. —1 Dec. 1983.

**Diagnosis.** Carapace transversely ovate, width-to-length ratio about 1.4; vermiculations relatively thick and smooth, with traces of fused granules visible under low magnification, some smaller vermiculations resembling “doughnuts” particularly on cardiac and branchial regions; furrows between vermiculations deep, filled with setae;
2M undivided, partially fused with 3M posteriorly; 4M fused with 3M and 1P by narrow, median bridge; 4L distinct; 5L and 6L united; 1P with small, round, separate but regularly arranged cavities; cervical furrow deep, moderately broad. Front quadrilobate, with deep lateral notches. Anterolateral margin with 4 feeble lobes; fourth well separated, subtriangular. External surfaces of thoracic sternum, pereopods and abdomen with similar sculpturing as carapace. Margins of ambulatory legs fringed with long setae. G1 long, slender, bluntly tipped; ventral wall of aperture with 2 short, simple setae; G2 about one-fourth length of G1.

**FIGURE 2.** *Glyptoxanthus angolensis* (Brito Capello, 1866), male, 49.9 × 34.4 mm (MNHN-B8352), Mayumbo Verques, Gabon: A, dorsal view; B, anterior view; C, ventral view; inset, abdomen; D, right chela, external view.

**Remarks.** Brito Capello (1866, 1867) described *Actaea angolensis* from Angola, on the southwestern coast of Africa. Some authors (Osorio 1887; Rathbun 1900; Balss 1921) treated it as conspecific with *G. vermiculatus* (Lamarck, 1818). Capart (1951) and Monod (1956), however, considered these to be two separate species, particularly on the basis of the carapace sculpturing, the live colouration, and the G1 morphology. *Glyptoxanthus angolensis* is morphologically most similar to *G. erosus* and to *G. vermiculatus* in the general shape of the carapace, and the disposition of the carapace regions and vermiculations. However, *G. angolensis* can be distinguished from these two species by the unique pattern of the carapace vermiculations, particularly in the formation of “doughnut”-shaped lobules especially on the branchial and cardiac regions, and by the G1. *Glyptoxanthus angolensis* can also be distinguished from *G. erosus* by its less, and irregularly, eroded anterior thoracic sternum (more eroded, but erosions and ridges forming a regular pattern in *G. erosus*). Furthermore, *G. angolensis* differs from *G. vermiculatus* by its poorly divided 2M region (partially but clearly divided longitudinally in *G. vermiculatus*) and the discrete subcircular cavities on the 1P region (two transverse parallel furrows on 1P in *G. vermiculatus*).

The type specimen reportedly deposited at the Museu Nacional de História Natural, Lisbon, Portugal, was not examined in this study. However, several specimens presently deposited at MNHN, RMNH and USNM were examined, which agree with descriptions and illustrations of previous workers (Brito Capello 1866; Capart 1951; Monod 1956; Guinot 1979).

**Ecology and geographical distribution.** *Glyptoxanthus angolensis* has been found in shallow to moderate subtidal depths (to 40 m), in sandy-rocky, rocky or coralline substrate. It is known to occur on the western coast of
Glyptoxanthus cavernosus (A. Milne-Edwards, 1878)
(Figs. 3, 10B)

Xantho vermiculatus, Osorio 1906: 149. Not Cancer vermiculatus Lamarck, 1818.

Material examined. Cape Verde Is.: 1 male, 49.6 × 35.8 mm (MNHN-B8329), Stn 148, 10–20 m, Sylvana, coll. Le Comte J. Polignac, 8 May 1913; 1 male, 26.7 × 19.1 mm, 1 female, 24.9 × 17.9 mm (MNHN-B8330), La Praya, 10–30 m, Talisman, 1883; 1 male, 21.7 × 15.5 mm, 1 female, 27.2 × 19.7 mm (RMNH-D40250), stn CANCAP 7.D15, scuba, Baia de Ribeirinha, W coast of São Vicente, coll. 7 Sep. 1986; 1 male, 24.7 × 17.8 mm, 1 female, 26.7 × 19.0 mm (RMNH-D40251), stn CANCAP 7.K18, snorkelling, intertidal to shallow sublittoral, shallow lagoon with corals, SE coast of Sal, coll. 29 Aug. 1986; 1 female, 13.7 × 9.7 mm (RMNH-D40253), 42 m, grab, SW of São Tiago, coll. 20 Aug. 1986; 1 female, 15.0 × 10.8 mm (RMNH-D40254), stn CANCAP 6.D11, scuba, Baia de São Pedro, SW coast of São Vicente, coll. 20 Jun. 1982.

Canary Is.: 1 female, 19.8 13.8 mm (RMNH-D40252), stn CANCAP 6.K01, rocky littoral, tidal pools, near los Christianos, SW Coast of Tenerife, coll. 27, 30 May 1982.

FIGURE 3. Glyptoxanthus cavernosus (A. Milne-Edwards, 1878), male, 49.6 × 35.8 mm (MNHN-B8329), Cape Verde: A, dorsal view; B, anterior view; C, ventral view; D, left chela, external view.
**Diagnosis.** Carapace transversely ovate, width-to-length ratio about 1.4; carapace vermiculations thick, petaloid, like a pavement of lobules; lobules smooth without traces of individual granules; furrows between lobules deep, relatively narrow, setose; 2M completely divided longitudinally, inner branch separated from 1M by shallow transverse furrow; 3M independent from 2M, posterior region bridged medially with 4M; 2L, 3L, and 4L distinct, 5L fused with 6L; 1P distinct, independent, without any smaller cavities. Front quadrilobate, with deep lateral notches. Anterolateral margin with 4 feeble lobes. External surfaces of thoracic sternum, pereopods and abdomen with similar sculpturing as carapace. Margins of ambulatory legs fringed with long setae. G1 long, slender, bluntly tipped; apex devoid of any setae, but studded with spiniform granules; G2 about one-fourth length of G1.

**Remarks.** Alphonse Milne-Edwards (1878) described *Actaea cavernosa* from the Cape Verde Islands, and later transferred it to his new genus, *Glyptoxanthus*. *Glyptoxanthus cavernosus* is easily distinguished from its congeners by the sculpturing on the dorsal surface of its carapace: in particular, the completely independent 3M region and the closely packed, non-elongate lobules and vermiculations, which have distinctly petaloid outlines. In fact, these vermiculations resemble the closely packed granules of some species of *Actaea* (see Guinot 1979: fig. 10), and also of some *Euxanthus* such as *E. boletarius* (Rathbun, 1911) (see Serène 1984: pl. 11 fig. E).

According to Monod (1956), the type specimen was deposited in the MNHN, Paris, but it could not be found. However, this is a distinctive species that was well described and we have no doubt over the identity of our present material, most of which is from the type locality, Cape Verde Islands.

**Ecology and geographical distribution.** *Glyptoxanthus cavernosus* is only known from the Cape Verde Islands and from the Canary Islands, off the northwestern coast of Africa, and has been collected from intertidal to shallow subtidal zones, amidst rocks and coral.

**Glyptoxanthus corrosus** (A. Milne-Edwards, 1869)

(Figs. 4, 10D)


**Material examined.** Cape Verde: Holotype, male (dry), 26.6 × 18.7 mm (MNHN-B3015), St. Vincent Is., coll. M. Bouvier, 1868-1869.

**Diagnosis.** Carapace transversely ovate, width-to-length ratio 1.4; gastric, branchial, cardiac and intestinal regions well separated by wide and deep furrows, but subregions (i.e., 1M+2M+3M; 1L+2L+3L+4L+5L+6L) more-or-less fused; 4M distinct, bridging gastric and cardiac regions; carapace vermiculations showing traces of fused granules, anastomosing, forming a regular reticulate pattern, particularly on gastric and branchial regions. Anterolateral margin poorly divided into low lobes, last lobe most definite. Anterior thoracic sternum moderately eroded, with somewhat reticulate sculpturing. G1 long and slender, apex somewhat tapering and devoid of setae, studded with spiniform granules.

**Remarks.** Alphonse Milne-Edwards (1869) described *Xantho corrosus* from St. Vincent Island, in the Cape Verde Archipelago, and later (A. Milne-Edwards 1879) he transferred it to his new genus *Glyptoxanthus*. It is easily distinguished from a sympatric species, *G. cavernosus* (A. Milne-Edwards, 1878), and from other congeners by the unique sculpturing of the dorsal carapace: i.e. fused carapace subregions, anastomosing and reticulate pattern of vermiculations, and wide and deep cervical and branchio-cardiac grooves.

**Ecology and geographical distribution.** *Glyptoxanthus corrosus* is a singular and rare species, only known from the type specimen, which is from Cape Verde, off the northwestern coast of Africa. Very little is known about the biology of this species (see Guinot 1979).
**FIGURE 4.** *Glyptoxanthus corrosus* (H. Milne Edwards, 1834), holotype, male, 26.6 × 18.7 mm (MNHN-B3015), Cape Verde: A, dorsal view; B, anterior view; C, ventral view; D, right chela, external view.

*Glyptoxanthus erosus* (Stimpson, 1859)
(Figs. 1E, F, 5, 10E)


*Glyptoxanthus erosus*, A. Milne-Edwards 1879: 254, pl. 43 fig. 3, pl. 44 fig. 4. —Rathbun 1930: 263, pl. 107 figs. 1–4. —Williams 1965: 185, figs. 167, 183A; 1984: 398, figs. 312, 331b. —Guinot 1967: 556, fig. 30a, b; 1971: 1072; 1979: 66, figs. 18D, 23B, pl. 6 fig. 2. —Felder 1973: 60, pl. 9 fig. 9. —Abele & Kim 1986: 57, 655 fig. c. —Ng et al. 2008: 199 (list).

**Material examined.** U.S.A.: Neotype (presently designated), male, 47.3 × 32.9 mm (USNM 25573), Biscayne Bay, Florida, coll. G.E. Benedict, 1901.

**Other material.** 1 male, 25.5 × 17.9 mm (MNHN-B8354), Florida, don. Smithsonian Institution, Jul. 1899; 1 male, 47.7 × 34.5 mm (USNM 76271), Bird Key reef, Tortugas Is., Florida, coll. Manter, 28 Jun. 1931, don. W.L. Schmitt, det. M.J. Rathbun; 1 male, 44.3 × 32.8 mm (USNM 119500), from rocky ledge, 97 ft, 5 miles off Destine, Florida, coll. 17 Feb. 1962, det. R.B. Manning; 1 ovig. female, 67.0 × 47.0 mm (USNM 168866), Calico scallop grounds, south of Beaufort Islet, North Carolina, coll. H.J. Porter/Ensign, 5 Sep. 1972, det. A.B. Williams; 1 male, 77.2 × 55.0 mm (USNM 237609), stn 13, otter trawl, 156 fms, USA east coast, coll. R. Lemaitre/RV Bellows; 1 male, 53.1 × 38.1 mm (ZRC 1998.9, ex. USNM 168865), 60–65 feet, Sapelo Island, 4.75 miles off Whistle Buoy, Georgia, coll. M. Gray, 7 Apr. 1966, det. A.B. Williams, 1977.

**Jamaica:** 1 male, 20.9 × 14.4 mm (RMNH-D27715), stn 1230, 17°51.6’N, 77°57.8’W, coll. Pillsbury, 7 Jul. 1970, det. L.B. Holthuis.

**Mexico:** 1 male, 16.3 × 11.4 mm, 2 females, 14.0 × 10.4 mm, 24.5 × 17.5 mm, 1 juv., 5.8 × 4.7 mm (USNM 9585), stn. 2365, 44 m (24 fms), 22°18’00”N 87°04’00”W, north of Yucatan Peninsula, Gulf of Mexico, coll. Albatross, 30 Jan. 1885; 2 males, 12.7 × 8.9 mm, 26.9 × 19.1 mm, 1 female, 22.8 × 16.5 mm (USNM 15001), off Yucatan Peninsula, stn 2363, coll. Albatross, 1885.
**FIGURE 5.** *Glyptoxanthus erosus* (Stimpson, 1859), A, neotype, male, 47.3 × 32.9 mm (USNM 25573), Florida, dorsal view; B–D, male, 53.1 × 38.1 mm (ZRC 1998.9), Georgia (USA), B, anterior view; C, ventral view; D, right chela, external view.

**Diagnosis.** Carapace transversely ovate, width-to-length ratio about 1.4; regions more-or-less defined, with several small, discrete, subcircular cavities included within; cervical groove deep; 1M fused to 2M; 2M poorly divided or entire, fused to 3M posteriorly; 4M bridging 3M and 1P; 2L, 3L, 4L distinct; 5L and 6L fused; 2P X-shaped; vermiculations regular, symmetric, rather convoluted, retaining traces of fused granules; small lobules immediately posterior to 1P slender and irregular in shape. Front quadrilobate. Anterolateral margin arcuate; divided into 4 low lobes; first lobe smallest, but prominent; fourth lobe subtriangular. Mxp3 deeply eroded. Male thoracic sternum also severely eroded, with cavities of various sizes arranged between granulate ridges in a more-or-less symmetric fashion. External surfaces of pereopods with similar vermiculate sculpturing as carapace. Abdomen with several transverse ridges on external surface, some of which are bridged together by one or shorter, longitudinal ridges. G1 long and slender, dorso-lateral wall of apex forming a narrow hood over aperture; with 1 or 2 short, simple setae on ventral margin of aperture; studded with spiniform granules subdistally; G2 about one-fourth length of G1.

**Remarks.** Stimpson (1859) described *Actaea erosa* from Florida, U.S.A. Alphonse Milne-Edwards (1879) subsequently transferred this species to *Glyptoxanthus*, along with other species previously in *Actaea* and *Xantho*, but did not formally designate a type species. Rathbun (1930) designated *G. erosus* as type species of *Glyptoxanthus*, and also reported that Stimpson’s type was no longer extant, but did not select a neotype. A large male specimen (47.3 × 33.0 mm, USNM 25573), from Biscayne Bay, Florida, and figured by Rathbun (1930: pl. 107), is designated here as the neotype of *G. erosus*, in accordance with Article 75 of the Code. This action is deemed necessary for the stability of the genus and species, as *G. erosus* is the type species of the genus, and as there are similar and/or sympatric species, such as *G. vermiculatus* and *G. angolensis*, with which it can be confused. *Glyptoxanthus erosus* is easily distinguished from *G. vermiculatus* with which it shares part of its distributional range, by the presence of several, small, disjunct, subcircular cavities on the cardiac region (1P) (two transverse, parallel furrows on 1P in *G. vermiculatus*). Except for their separate distributional ranges, *G. erosus* could be easily confused with *G. angolensis* due to the strong similarities in their carapace sculpturing; although *G. erosus* tends to
have more regular and symmetric vermiculations than *G. angolensis*, and also does not have the “doughnut”-like vermiculations observed in *G. angolensis* (see Remarks for *G. angolensis*). The morphology of the G1 of these three related species varies considerably from each other (Fig. 10). Rathbun (1930: 265) noted the colouration of a formalin-preserved specimen as “cream white with blotches and small spots of bright red, color especially persistent on walking legs, with dactyls red at base and yellowish distally.”

**Ecology and geographical distribution.** *Glyptoxanthus erosus* has been reported from the Atlantic coast of the United States (North Carolina to Florida), from the Gulf of Mexico, the Bahamas, the Yucatan Peninsula, and from the Caribbean Sea (see Rathbun 1930; Guinot 1979; Williams 1984). *G. erosus* has generally been collected subtidally from sandy to rocky and coralline bottoms to a maximum depth of 90 m (see Williams 1984).

*Glyptoxanthus hancocki* Garth, 1939

(Fig. 6)


*Glyptoxanthus hancocki* Garth, 1939: 15, pl. 4 fig. 1, pl. 5 figs. 1a, 2a, 3a (type locality: Galapagos Is.); 1946: 437, pl. 76 figs. 1, 2. —Guinot 1967: 556; 1971: 1073; 1979: 68, pl. 6 fig. 8. —Ng et al. 2008: 199 (list).


![FIGURE 6. *Glyptoxanthus hancocki* Garth, 1939, paratype, male, 32.4 × 21.8 mm (LACM CR 1933.107.2), Galapagos Is.: A, dorsal view; B, anterior view; C, ventral view; D, right chela, external view.](image)

**Other material.** 1 male, 37.9 × 25.5 mm (LACM CR 1934.187.2), stn 313-35, shore, Black Beach, Charles Is., coll. Velerol/A. Hancock Pacific Expedition, 6 Dec. 1934; 1 male, 19.0 × 13.1 mm, 2 females, 15.9 × 11.0 mm, 19.4

**Diagnosis.** Carapace transversely ovate, width-to-length ratio about 1.4–1.5; dorsal surface rather depressed; regions well defined, with intervening furrows relatively wide, not less pronounced than cervical groove, setose; vermiculations symmetrically convoluted on left and right side of carapace, smooth, with fewer included cavities compared to congeners; 1F, 2F distinct; 2M completely divided longitudinally, 1M fused to inner branch; 3M completely independent of 2M, but bridged by 4M to 1P; 2L, 3L, 4L distinct, 5L and 6L fused. Anterolateral margin arcuate, divided into 4 weakly projecting lobes. Male thoracic sternum eroded, but with symmetric pattern of ridges and cavities. External surfaces of pereopods similarly sculpted as dorsal carapace. Male abdomen with transverse ridges. G1 not figured.

**Remarks.** Rathbun (1930) assigned one female specimen from the Galapagos Islands to *Glyptoxanthus labyrinthicus* (Stimpson, 1860). Garth (1939, 1946) subsequently assigned this specimen to a new species, *Glyptoxanthus hancocki*, along with more type material collected from the Galapagos. *G. hancocki* is most similar to *G. labyrinthicus*, a Pacific species, based on the general shape and sculpturing of the carapace and thoracic sternum. However, the two can be easily distinguished by the following features: 1) 1F and 2F are not connected to each other in *G. hancocki* (fused in *G. labyrinthicus*); 2) the inner branch of 2M is not fused to the posterior part of 3M (fused in *G. labyrinthicus*); 3) the lobes of the carapace anterolateral margin are not well produced (well produced, particularly the fourth lobe, in *G. labyrinthicus*); and 4) the carapace vermiculations have fewer punctae, i.e. none on inner branch of 2M and 3 on 3M (vermiculations with more punctae, i.e., about 5 on inner branch of 2M and on 3M, in *G. labyrinthicus*).

Garth (1946: 438) described the live colouration of this species as such: “Dark areas on carapace a rich violet carmine, a little more reddish on branchial and posterior areas. Light areas cadmium orange on frontal, gastric, and cardiac regions; branchial and intestinal regions same color but lighter in tone. Chela violet carmine on dark areas; fingers very dark seal brown, fading toward tips, which are almost white. Ambulatory legs burnt lake on dark areas and light cadmium orange on light areas. Nail of dactyl amber. Eyestalk pale orange yellow; eye blackish brown.”

**Ecology and geographical distribution.** *Glyptoxanthus hancocki* is known only from the Galapagos Islands, off the coast of Ecuador. It has been collected from rocky substrate (usually under large rocks) in the intertidal zone, during very low tides (see Garth 1946).

---

**Glyptoxanthus labyrinthicus** (Stimpson, 1860)

(Figs. 7, 10F)

*Actaea labyrinthica* Stimpson, 1860: 204 [76] (type locality: Panama).


**Material examined.** **Panama:** Neotype (presently designated), female, 31.1 × 21.7 mm (USNM 1083419), Bella Vista, Panama Bay, Gulf of Chiriqui.

**Other material.** 1 female, 10.4 × 7.2 mm (LACM CR 1941.3721), stn 104, 8—10 m (4.5–5.5 fms), Guayabo Chiquito, coll. Askoy Expedition, 20–21 May 1941; 1 male, 19.9 × 13.6 mm (USNM 20604), Pacific coast of Panama, coll. Albatross, 12 Mar. 1891; 3 males, 28.5 × 19.7 mm —52.8 × 35.8 mm, 3 females, 33.8 × 23.5 mm —53.2 × 37.0 mm, 1 ovig. female, 40.5 × 28.3 mm (USNM 284119), Pacific coast of Panama, coll. E.D. Robson, det. J.S. Garth.

**Colombia:** 1 male, 19.0 × 12.8 mm (LACM CR 1935.0753), stn 435-35, Octavia Bay, coll. A. Hancock Pacific Expedition, Velero, 28 Jan. 1935.

**Mexico:** 1 female (dry), 45.1 × 32.2 mm (MNHN-B4260), Pacific coast, no other data.

**Diagnosis.** Carapace transversely ovate, width-to-length ratio 1.4–1.5; regions well defined, intervening furrows similarly wide, setose; 2M completely divided longitudinally, inner branch fused to 1M and posterior part of 3M; 4M bridging 3M to 1P; 2L, 3L, 4L distinct, 5L and 6L fused; 2P transversely X-shaped, entire; vermiculations...
generally smooth, anfractuous, punctate. Anterolateral margin arcuate, divided into moderately produced lobes, fourth most distinct, subtriangular. Male thoracic sternum eroded, but with symmetric pattern of ridges and cavities. External surfaces of pereopods similarly sculpted as dorsal carapace. Male abdomen with transverse ridges. G1 long and slender, tip bluntly rounded, devoid of setae, studded with spiniform granules; G2 one-fourth length of G1.

**FIGURE 7.** *Glyptoxanthus labyrinthicus* (Stimpson, 1860), A, neotype, female, 31.1 × 21.7 mm (USNM 1083419), Pacific coast of Panama, dorsal view; B-F, male, 19.0 × 12.8 mm (LACM CR 1935.0753), Octavia Bay, Colombia: B, dorsal view; C, anterior view; D, ventral view; E, right chela, external view; F, posterior sternum & sterno-abdominal cavity.

**Remarks.** Stimpson (1860) described *Actaea labyrinthica* from the Pacific coast of Panama. This species was later transferred by A. Milne-Edwards (1879) to *Glyptoxanthus*. Rathbun (1930: 266) reported that Stimpson’s type specimen was no longer extant, but did not designate a neotype. A female specimen (31.1 × 21.7 mm, USNM 1083419), collected from Bella Vista, on the Pacific coast of Panama is here designated as neotype, in accordance with Article 75 of the Code. This action is necessary to stabilize the taxonomy of this species and to avoid confusion with a similar species also known from the eastern Pacific, *G. hancocki*. 
Glyptoxanthus labyrinthicus is morphologically most similar to G. hancocki Garth, 1939, particularly in the general form and sculpturing of the carapace and thoracic sternum, but a closer examination reveals some consistent differences between the two species (see Remarks on G. hancocki). Stimpson (1860) described the live colouration of this species as “variegated with yellow and carmine.” A recent photograph of the same species collected in Panama (kindly provided by Dr. Arthur Anker) shows the vermiculations to be generally variegated with rose-pink, orange and lavender, with bold splotches of darker purple on the posterior branchials, parts of the cardiac and intestinal regions, and on the pereopods, and a vivid vermillion on the anterior parts of the inner halves of the 2M region; the grooves, as well as the edges of the ambulatory legs are filled with light-brown setae.

Ecology and geographical distribution. Glyptoxanthus labyrinthicus has been reported from the Pacific coast of the Americas, particularly from Panama (type locality), Colombia, and Mexico.

Glyptoxanthus meandricus (Lockington, 1877)

(Figs. 8, 10G)

Actaea meandrica Lockington, 1877: 97 (type locality: Mulegé Bay, Gulf of California, Mexico).


Glyptoxanthus felipensis Rathbun, 1933: 147 (type locality: San Felipe, Gulf of California, Mexico). —Crane 1937: 70, pl. 6 figs. 20, 21.

Glyptoxanthus meandricus, Glassell 1934: 301. —Garth, 1939 pl. 4 fig. 3, pl. 5 figs. 1c, 2c, 3c. —Guinot 1967: 556; 1971: 1072; 1979: 68, pl. 6 fig. 1. —Ng et al. 2008: 197 (list).

Material examined. Mexico:

Neotype (here designated), male, 40.7 × 27.1 mm (LACM CR 1940.65), intertidal shingle, 0 m, 27°51.8’N 111°06.3’W, Bahia Catalina, off Guaymas, Sonora, coll. Velero III, 9 Feb. 1940.

Other material: 3 males, 25.8 × 17.5 mm —46.0 × 30.2 mm (LACM CR 1940.65), same data as neotype; 1 female, 33.9 × 23.0 mm (MNHN-B8362), Gulf of California, coll. Diquet, 1900, det. D. Guinot, 1976; 1 male, 24.9 × 16.7 mm (LACM CR 1500.024.1), Punta Peñasco, Sonora, coll. J. Littlepage, det. G. labyrinthicus by J.S. Garth.

Types of Glyptoxanthus felipensis Rathbun, 1933: holotype, female, 44.0 × 28.5 mm (USNM 67569); paratypes, 4 males, 16.9 × 11.3 —36.6 × 24.5 mm, 3 females, 22.6 × 15.4 mm —37.1 × 24.9 mm (USNM 67569), 1 male, 24.3 × 16.1 mm, 1 female, 30.3 × 21.2 mm, (LACM CR 19332085), San Felipe, Gulf of California, coll. H.N. Lowe, 6–15 May 1933.

Diagnosis. Carapace transversely ovate, width-to-length ratio about 1.5; regions more-or-less defined, some deeply punctate; intervening furrows narrow, setose; 2M completely divided longitudinally, inner branch fused with 1M; 3M completely independent of 2M; 4M abutting 3M, but separated from 1P by irregular furrow; 2L, 3L, 4L distinct, 5L and 6L fused, cervical furrow deep and wide at level of 6L and 4M; 1P anfractuous; 2P divided into smaller asperate lobules; vermiculations pronouncedly granular, narrow, convoluted. Front quadrilobate. Anterolateral margin arcuate, weakly divided into 4 lobes, none of which are distinct. Male thoracic sternum with reticulate pattern of narrow, granulate ridges, between shallow, granulate cavities. External surfaces of pereopods with similar sculpturing as carapace. Abdomen with parallel, transverse, granulate bars on external surface. G1 long and slender; terminal end bluntly tipped, studded with spinose granules; ventral margin of aperture with 2 short, simple setae; G2 about one-fourth length of G1.

Remarks. Lockington (1877) described Actaea meandrica from the Gulf of California, but did not provide any illustration. This species was not included when A. Milne-Edwards (1879) established the genus Glyptoxanthus, and in fact, there was no further mention of it until 1930. Rathbun (1930) included this species in the synonymy of Glyptoxanthus labyrinthicus (Stimpson, 1860), without having examined any specimen as, according to her, the types were no longer extant. She later described a new species, Glyptoxanthus felipensis Rathbun, 1933, also from the Gulf of California. Glassell (1934), however, considered G. meandricus (Lockington) as distinct from G. labyrinthicus (Stimpson), and this view was also supported by Garth (1939). Furthermore, Guinot (1967, 1979) considered G. felipensis as a junior synonym of G. meandricus (Lockington). Ng et al. (2008) correctly listed G. meandricus as a junior synonym of G. meandricus, but attributed the latter name to the wrong author, Klunzinger, having confused it with another species, G. meandrinus (Klunzinger, 1913), from the Red Sea. To stabilize the taxonomy of this species, a neotype male (40.7 × 27.1 mm), collected from the Gulf of California and deposited at the Natural
History Museum of LA County, in Los Angeles, USA (LACM CR 1940.65), is hereby designated in accordance with Article 75 of the Code. This action is necessary to provide a definite name-bearing type on which to base future comparisons, as the original description of this species by Lockington is rather vague; and also to distinguish it from other species of *Glyptoxanthus* occurring in the eastern Pacific, although this is the only species known to occur in the Gulf of California.

*Glyptoxanthus meandricus* is easily distinguished by the narrow and strongly granulate vermiculations on its carapace (and pereopods), which are separated by correspondingly narrow furrows; the independent 3M and the completely divided 2M regions; the poorly produced lobes on the carapace anterolateral margins; and the reticulate pattern of narrow ridges and shallow cavities, both of which are granulate, on the thoracic sternum.

Some of the preserved specimens we have examined had a uniform, dark reddish-brown colouration, and had the furrows on the exposed surfaces filled with mud.

**FIGURE 8.** *Glyptoxanthus meandricus* (Lockington, 1877), A–D, neotype, male, 40.7 × 27.1 mm (LACM CR 1940.65) Gulf of California: A, dorsal view; B, anterior view, C, ventral view; D, right chela, external view; E–F, female, 33.9 × 23.0 mm (MNHN-B8362), Gulf of California: E, dorsal view; F, ventral view.
Ecology and geographical distribution. *Glyptoxanthus meandrinus* has only been found, thus far, in the Gulf of California, presumably from the intertidal to the shallow subtidal zones amidst rocky substrate.

**Glyptoxanthus meandrinus** (Klunzinger, 1913)
(Fig. 9A, B)

*Actäa* (*Psamis*) *mäandrina* Klunzinger, 1913: 185 [89], pl. 1 fig. 5, pl. 6 fig. 4 (type locality: Kosseir, Red Sea).

*Actaea vermiculata*, Odhner 1925: 57, pl. 4 fig. 1. Not *Cancer vermiculatus* Lamarck, 1818.


**Material examined.** None. The only known specimen is the type, supposedly deposited in the Stuttgart Museum.

**Diagnosis.** (Modified from Klunzinger (1913)) Carapace transversely ovate, width-to-length ratio 1.5; regions more-or-less defined, intervening furrows wide, especially cervical furrow; 2M nearly completely divided except for fused anterior section with 1M; 3M fused to inner branch of 2M posteriorly; 4M bridging 3M and 1P; 2L, 3L, 4L distinct, 5L and 6L fused, distinct oblongate cavity on 5L; 1P with 2 parallel, transverse furrows; 2P X-shaped, entire; vermiculations narrow, rough, anfractuous. Front quadrilobate. Anterolateral margins arcuate, divided into 4 distinct lobes, posterior 3 triangular, last acutely so. Male thoracic sternum regularly eroded, with near-symmetric pattern of cavities and ridges. External surfaces of pereopods with similar sculpturing as dorsal carapace. Abdomen with transverse bars. G1 and G2 unknown.

**Remarks.** Klunzinger (1913) described *Actäa* (*Psamis*) *mäandrina* (= *Actaea meandrina*), from Kosseir (= Al-Qusair), on the Egyptian coast of the Red Sea, and from only one small specimen, “size: 6 mm”, of indeterminate sex. This species was considered by Odhner (1925) as a juvenile *Actaea vermiculata* (Lamarck, 1818), and he also cast some doubt as to the real provenance of Lamarck’s types, which were supposedly from the Caribbean region. Guinot (1979), however, contradicted this and provided evidence that *Glyptoxanthus vermiculatus* can indeed be found in the Caribbean Sea. She further commented on the disjunct distributional pattern of *G. vermiculatus* and *G. meandrinus*, which strongly suggested that the two were distinct species. This opinion was also held by Serène (1984), who included *G. meandrinus* in his list of the crabs of the Red Sea and the western Indian Ocean. In the absence of additional specimens from the Red Sea, *Glyptoxanthus meandrinus* is also considered as distinct from *G. vermiculatus* in the present work. Although the holotype, deposited in the Stuttgart Museum (see Odhner 1925; Serène 1984), was not available for examination, the figures provided by Klunzinger (1913) and Odhner (1925) were sufficiently clear to make comparisons. *Glyptoxanthus meandrinus* differs from *G. vermiculatus* primarily by the narrower, simpler vermiculations and the wider grooves and furrows on the dorsal carapace surface (vermiculations thicker and more convoluted, and intervening furrows much narrower in *G. vermiculatus*), and by the presence of a distinct oblongate cavity on the 5L subregion (absent in *G. vermiculatus*).

Ecology and geographical distribution. Klunzinger (1913: 186 [90]) described the colouration of this species as “… white, including the fingers in their whole length” [translated]. It is known only from the type locality. Very little else is known about this rare species.

**Glyptoxanthus vermiculatus** (Lamarck, 1818)
(Figs. 9C–F, 10H)

*Cancer vermiculatus* Lamarck, 1818: 271 (type locality: most probably Caribbean Sea, see Guinot 1979: 66).


**Material examined.** Caribbean Sea: Lectotype, male, 41.8 × 28.6 mm, paralectotype, female, 34.2 × 24.2 mm (MNHN-B3016), locality written as “? Floride” on label, no other data.
Curaçao: 1 female, 32.3 × 21.2 mm (USNM 7589), coll. Albatross, 10–18 Feb. 1884.

Suriname: 1 male, 38.0 × 25.4 mm (RMNH-D12181), off the coast, between mouths of Coppenme & Suriname rivers, coll. Coquette, 19–22 Jul. 1957.

Diagnosis. Carapace transversely ovate, width-to-length ratio 1.4–1.5; carapace regions more-or-less defined, cervical furrow slightly wider than other furrows; 2M nearly completely divided longitudinally except anterior part which is fused with 1M; posterior part of 3M fused to inner branch of 2M; 4M bridging 3M and 1P; 2L, 3L, 4L distinct, 5L and 6L fused; 1P with 2 parallel transverse furrows; 2P X-shaped, somewhat subdivided into smaller lobules; vermiculations moderately thick, convoluted, generally smooth, with traces of fused granules. Front quadrolabate. Anterolateral margins arcuate, divided into 4 distinct, subtriangular lobes. Male thoracic sternum eroded, with near-symmetric pattern of ridges and cavities. External surfaces of pereopods with similar sculpturing as dorsal carapace surface. Abdomen with transverse bars. G1 long, slender, distal end studded with spiniform granules, apex blunt, aperture large, unobstructed, ventral margin with 2 short, simple setae; G2 one-fourth length of G1

FIGURE 9. A–B, Glyptoxanthus meandrinus (Klunzinger, 1913), holotype, male, 9.0 × 6.0 mm (Strasburg Museum), after Odhner (1925): A, dorsal view, B, ventral view. C–F, Glyptoxanthus vermiculatus (Lamarck, 1818), lectotype, male, 41.8 × 28.6 mm (MNHN-B3016), Caribbean Sea: C, dorsal view, D, anterior view, E, posteroventral view, F, left chela, external view.
FIGURE 10. Glyptox anthus spp. gonopods (G1). A, G. angolensis (Brito Capello, 1866); B, G. cavernosus (A. Milne-Edwards, 1878); C, G. erosus (Stimpson, 1859); E, G. corrosus (H. Mile Edwards, 1834); E, G. labyrinthicus (Stimpson, 1860). (LACM CR 1935.075.3); F, G. meandricus (Lockington, 1877), neotype (LACM CR 1940.65); G, G. vermiculatus (Lamarck, 1818). (A–C, D, G, after Guinot 1979).

Remarks. Lamarck (1818) described *Cancer vermiculatus* from two specimens purportedly collected from the “Antilles” (= Caribbean). Subsequently, other workers treated it as a species of *Xantho*, and reported additional specimens from the Caribbean region (H. Milne Edwards 1834; Desbonne, in Desbonne & Schramm 1867; A. Milne-Edwards 1868). A. Milne-Edwards (1879) eventually established a new genus, *Glyptoxanthus*, to accommodate this and five other species. Some confusion had arisen from several reports of *G. vermiculatus* from outside the Caribbean (i.e., Cape Verde Islands, western coast of Africa, Red Sea) which were actually of different species of *Glyptoxanthus*, and/or from the poorly substantiated synonymization of related species (see previous Remarks for
other *Glyptoxanthus* spp.; see also Osorio 1897, 1898, 1907; Odhner 1925; Rathbun 1930). Guinot (1977, 1979) stabilized the taxonomy of this species by selecting the male specimen (of the two syntypes originally studied by Lamarck) as the lectotype, and by highlighting the morphological distinctive characters. She expressed some doubt on the provenance of Lamarck’s type specimens (which were said to have come from “? Floride”, as written on the label), and went on to confirm the presence of this species in the Caribbean Sea based on her examination of specimens collected and reported by earlier workers from that region.

*Glyptoxanthus vermiculatus* is superficially similar in morphology to two Atlantic species, *G. erosus* from the western Atlantic including the Caribbean and the Gulf of Mexico, and *G. angolensis* from the eastern Atlantic, particularly in the general form and sculpturing of the carapace. However, *G. vermiculatus* can be distinguished from these two species primarily by the presence of two parallel furrows on the cardiac region (1P) of the carapace (several, separate, small cavities in *G. erosus* and *G. angolensis*). The G1s differ significantly among these species (Fig. 10; also Guinot 1979: fig. 18B, D, F). Furthermore, the condition of the gastric regions differs among the three species. In *G. vermiculatus*, 2M is almost completely divided longitudinally except for the fused anterior part, which also fused to 1M; in *G. erosus*, the fusion occurs on the posterior part of 2M, and in *G. angolensis*, 2M is not as clearly divided as either of the two species. *Glyptoxanthus vermiculatus* is morphologically most similar to *G. meandrinus* from the Red Sea, particularly in the way the 2M region is divided, in the presence of two parallel transverse furrows on 1P, and in the pronounced subtriangular lobes on the carapace anterolateral margin. However, *G. vermiculatus* has thicker and more convoluted vermiculations, narrower intervening furrows, and no oblongate cavity on 5L; whereas *G. meandrinus* has narrower, less convoluted vermiculations coupled with wider furrows, as well as a clear oblongate cavity on 5L. There is some uncertainty as to whether *G. vermiculatus* and *G. meandrinus* are distinct species. In fact, Odhner (1925) considered the two to be conspecific, choosing to believe that the true type locality of *G. vermiculatus* was probably in the Indo-West Pacific rather than in the Caribbean. It is also possible that the small size of the holotype of *G. meandrinus* means that it is a juvenile, and, therefore, the observed differences in carapace morphology are age-related and intra-specific. In the absence of additional specimens from the Red Sea, however, and in light of the confirmed presence of *G. vermiculatus* in the Caribbean and the western Atlantic, we consider the two species to be distinct.

**Ecology and geographical distribution.** This species has been obtained at depths of approximately 10 m, and has been observed to be associated with coral heads (Cobo et al. 2002). Thus far, there have been no reports of *G. vermiculatus* from Florida or anywhere north of the Caribbean region (e.g., Rathbun 1930; Williams 1965, 1984), although this species has been found on the South American coast as far south as southeastern Brazil (Melo 1996; Cobo et al. 2002; Almeida & Coelho 2008). Therefore, we consider the northern limit of *G. vermiculatus*’ range as within the Caribbean Sea, for the moment.
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