

## *Coeloma rupeliense* (Crustacea, Decapoda, Brachyura) from the Bilzen Formation (Rupel Group, Lower Oligocene) in northeast Belgium

by John W.M. JAGT, Barry W.M. VAN BAKEL & René H.B. FRAAIJE

JAGT, J.W.M., BAKEL, B.W.M. VAN & FRAAIJE, R.H.B., 2010 – *Coeloma rupeliense* (Crustacea, Decapoda, Brachyura) from the Bilzen Formation (Rupel Group, Lower Oligocene) in northeast Belgium. *Bulletin de l'Institut royal des Sciences naturelles de Belgique, Sciences de la Terre*, **80**: 245-252, 1 fig., 1 table, 1 pl., Brussels, October 31, 2010 – ISSN 0374-6291.

### Abstract

A sediment-compacted and decorticated carapace, preserving the left cheliped and a portion of the left eye stalk, from the so-called 'Nucula Klei' of previous authors (= Kleine Spouwen Member, Bilzen Formation, Rupel Group), of Early Oligocene age, constitutes the first record of *Coeloma rupeliense* STAINIER, 1887 from temporary sections along the Albertkanaal between Kesselt and Veldwezelt (Limburg, northeast Belgium). *Coeloma rupeliense* is commonly preserved in concretions from the correlative Boom Formation in the provinces of Oost-Vlaanderen and Antwerpen, north of the rivers Rupel and Nete (northwest Belgium), and has also been recorded from the Lower Oligocene of northern Germany, both *in situ* and from erratic boulders. Some notes on the genus *Coeloma* A. MILNE-EDWARDS, 1865, and on species assigned to it, are added.

**Keywords:** Crustacea, Decapoda, Brachyura, *Coeloma*, Oligocene, Belgium.

### Résumé

Une carapace compactée et décortiquée, avec le chélopède gauche et une partie du pédoncule oculaire gauche préservés, provenant de la 'Nucula Klei' des anciens auteurs (= Kleine Spouwen Member, Bilzen Formation, Rupel Group), d'âge Oligocène inférieur, représente le premier témoignage de la présence de *Coeloma rupeliense* STAINIER, 1887 dans des coupes temporaires le long du Canal Albert, entre Kesselt et Veldwezelt (Limbourg, nord-est de la Belgique). *Coeloma rupeliense* est communément préservé dans des concrétions du même âge de la Formation de Boom, dans les provinces de Flandre-Orientale et d'Anvers, au nord des rivières Rupel et Nete (nord-ouest de la Belgique), et a aussi été détecté dans l'Oligocène inférieur du nord de l'Allemagne, aussi bien *in situ* que dans des blocs erratiques. Quelques commentaires sur le genre *Coeloma* A. MILNE-EDWARDS, 1865 et sur les espèces assignées sont ajoutés.

**Mots-clefs:** Crustacea, Decapoda, Brachyura, *Coeloma*, Oligocène, Belgique.

### Introduction

In the Belgian provinces of Oost-Vlaanderen and Antwerpen, in particular north of the rivers Rupel and Nete (Fig. 1), strata of Early Oligocene (Rupelian) age have yielded numerous decapod crustaceans ever since clay extraction for brick production started around 1850. These faunas, which generally are preserved in concretions and nodules, comprise mostly rare paguroids and dromiaceans, plus common lobsters and goneplacoid crabs (VERHEYDEN, 2002; VAN BAKEL *et al.*, 2003, 2006, 2009). Records of crabs from correlative strata in the province of Limburg (northeast Belgium; Fig. 1) are comparatively rare. The discovery in a temporary outcrop along the Albertkanaal of a moderately preserved specimen of *Coeloma rupeliense* from the so-called 'Nucula Klei', a greenish brown to yellowish grey, sandy clay with numerous specimens of the nuculid bivalve *Nucula (Lamellinucula) comta* GOLDFUSS, 1837, came as some surprise. The 'Nucula Klei' is an informal name for the Kleine Spouwen Member of the Bilzen Formation (CLAES *et al.*, 2001; LAGA *et al.*, 2002; VANDENBERGHE *et al.*, 2004). Although only a single specimen from Belgian Limburg is available to date, the presence of *C. rupeliense* does confirm that the Bilzen Formation is the lateral equivalent of the Boom Formation (see VANDENBERGHE *et al.*, 2002), to which the species is confined in northwest Belgium.

There is a consensus amongst decapod crustacean workers (see e.g., DE GRAVE *et al.*, 2009; SCHWEITZER *et al.*, 2010) that the genus *Coeloma*, its 'subgenera' *Paracoeloma* BEURLIN in LÖRENTHEY & BEURLIN, 1929, *Intercoeloma* POLKOWSKY, 2005 and *Litoricola*

WOODWARD, 1873, as well as species assigned to all of these, are in urgent need of revision. With broadly overlapping geographic and stratigraphic ranges, and only subtle differences in dorsal carapace morphology to distinguish the various forms, it would appear that too much splitting has occurred in this group.

### Material and methods

The single specimen available to date, MAB k. 2866 (Pl. 1) in the collections of the Oertijdmuseum De Groene Poort (Boxtel, the Netherlands), originates from temporary outcrops on the western bank of the Albertkanaal between Kesselt and Veldwezelt (Fig. 1) in the early 1990s, at a time when the canal was broadened between the villages of Kanne in the south and of Gellik in the north (see also BAUT & GÉNAULT, 1999). It was discovered by breaking up chunks of clay, replete with shells of nuculid bivalves. The carapace has suffered compaction, is almost wholly decorticated, with few remains of cuticle left, and lacks important features such as anterolateral spines, although it does preserve the rostrum, basal portions of orbital spines, the left cheliped as well as a portion of the left eye stalk. Unfortunately, the exact provenance level within

the Kleine Spouwen Member sequence of the present specimen is unknown, because neither the top nor the base of the unit were visible at the time of collection. CLAES *et al.* (2001, p. 24) indicated an average thickness between 6 and 7 metres (and a maximum of 10 m) for this unit in the area covered by sheet 34 (Tongeren) of the topographical map of Belgium.

### Systematic palaeontology

For now, we follow DE GRAVE *et al.* (2009) and SCHWEITZER *et al.* (2010) in assigning the genus *Coeloma* to the family Mathildellidae KARASAWA & KATO, 2003, rather than to Geryonidae COLOSI, 1924. However, we consider a revision of the genus, its 'subgenera' and all species long overdue. The recent addition of a new 'subgenus' and a new species has blurred the picture even further (see ILYIN, 2005; POLKOWSKY, 2005).

Genus *Coeloma* A. MILNE-EDWARDS, 1865

*Type species: Coeloma vigil* A. MILNE-EDWARDS, 1865, by monotypy.




Fig. 1 – Map of Belgium and the Netherlands, with indication of the Waasland-Boom area (provinces of Oost-Vlaanderen and Antwerpen; solid symbol) and of the Albertkanaal sections between Kesselt and Veldwezelt (province of Limburg; open symbol).

*Coeloma rupeliense* STAINIER, 1887

Pl. 1

1887 – *Coeloma rupeliense* STAINIER, p. 86, pl. 5, figs. 1-5.2002 – *Coeloma (Paracoeloma) rupeliense* STAINIER, 1887 – VERHEYDEN, p. 172, pl. 1, figs. 1, 2; pl. 2, figs. 1, 2; pl. 4, fig. 1; text-figs. 2-5 (with additional synonymy).*Material*

A single specimen, MAB k. 2866.

*Description*

Carapace more or less trapezoidal in shape, wider than long (as preserved, length *c.* 40 mm, width *c.* 48 mm); L/W ratio *c.* 0.83; maximum carapace width one third of maximum carapace length from the front; width of orbitofrontal area equalling 45 per cent of carapace width; front broad, bilobed; orbits very wide and concave, bounded by inner and outer orbital spines (basal portions of which are preserved); anterolateral margin oblique, short; protuberances/spines not preserved; regions well delineated; epigastric lobes small, rounded; protogastric lobes larger, bordered posteriorly by clear cervical groove; anterior mesogastric process narrow, mesogastric lobe more or less merged posteriorly with urogastric lobe; elevations on mesogastric lobe faint; cardiac lobe flat, with two faint elevations; hepatic regions poorly preserved; epibranchial regions small, with slight elevation leading towards spine (not preserved); postbranchial region flat, with two protuberances, the anterior one elongate, the posterior one larger and more or less circular; posterolateral margin straight, oblique; transition into posterior margin more or less angular; posterior margin with clear rim; carapace surface covered with more or less uniformly sized pustules; left cheliped poorly preserved, consisting of flat and comparatively wide merus, carpus and propodus; portion of eye stalk preserved, fairly wide, yet delicate.

*Discussion*

In spite of the mediocre state of preservation, MAB k. 2866 is best assigned to *C. rupeliense*, on the basis of carapace shape and division, in particular the presence of two protuberances on the postbranchial region (see below), which compare well with specimens illustrated by VERHEYDEN (2002, pl. 1). *Coeloma rupeliense* is a well-known species (see STAINIER, 1887, p. 86, pl. 5, figs. 1-5), with which *Portunus nodosus* VAN BENEDEN, 1883 (p. 132) and *Coeloma holsaticum* STOLLEY, 1890 (p. 151, pl. 5, fig. 1a-d; pl. 6, fig. 1a-e) have subsequently been synonymised (see STAINIER & BERNAIS, 1899).

VAN STRAELEN (1921, pp. 123-125 [15-17]) listed *Coeloma rupeliense* for the 'Étage Rupélien', i.e., the Boom Clay Formation in modern terminology, at Burght [= Burcht] and Kontich, close to Antwerpen. VERHEYDEN (2002, p. 179) recorded this species from what he referred to as the Lower Oligocene (Latdorfian) of Germany, the middle Oligocene (Rupelian) of Belgium (Antwerpen area) and of Niedersachsen, Schleswig-Holstein and Mecklenburg-Vorpommern in Germany (see also GRAMANN & MUTTERLOSE, 1975; MOTHS & MONTAG, 2002).

*Remarks on the genus Coeloma and its relationships*

At present, *Coeloma* comprises almost twenty 'species'. There is a consensus amongst authors that morphologically all are very close and can only be distinguished on details of their dorsal carapaces and, possibly, chelipeds. This observation, coupled with the broadly overlapping stratigraphic and geographic ranges of some of these forms (Table 1), strongly suggests that too much splitting has occurred within this group. A reassessment of the type material of all taxa and of the diagnoses of the various 'subgenera' appears long overdue. Such is beyond the scope of the present note, but we do wish to add some comments below.

*Coeloma rupeliense* is the type species, by original designation, of the subgenus *Paracoeloma* BEURLEN in LÖRENTHEY & BEURLEN, 1929 (p. 243). These authors (pp. 241-243) favoured a subdivision of the genus *Coeloma* into three groups, as follows (translated from German):

1. forms with a short, curved orbital margin and a clear areolation of the branchial regions, to which were assigned *C. martinezensis* RATHBUN, 1926 [Lower Eocene, California, USA], *C. glabrum* (WOODWARD, 1873) [Lower Eocene, England], *C. dentatum* (WOODWARD, 1873) [ditto] and *C. vareolatum* LÖRENTHEY, 1898 [Middle Eocene, southern Germany]. For this primitive, rather divergent group, which is restricted to the Lower and Middle Eocene, the name *Litoricola* is available (type species: *L. glabra*);
2. forms with a comparable orbital margin, but with strong areolation of branchial regions, to which were assigned *C. egerense* BEURLEN in LÖRENTHEY & BEURLEN, 1929 [Lower Oligocene, Hungary], *C. rupeliense*, *C. holsaticum* and *C. bicarinatum* RAVN, 1904 [Lower Oligocene, East Greenland; compare LARSEN *et al.*, 2002]. In many ways, this is reminiscent of *Litoricola* but in carapace areolation it shows a parallel development to *C. (Coeloma)*.

Table 1 – Selected species of *Coeloma* considered in the present paper, arranged alphabetically; \* = original reference. Note: *Coeloma* (?) *helmstedtense* BACHMAYER & MUNDLOS, 1968 from the lower Oligocene of northern Germany (see also LIENAU, 1984) has recently been transferred to the geryonid *Chaceon* by SCHWEITZER *et al.* (2010, p. 106), an action which we consider justified. For a complete list of all taxa assigned to *C.* (*Coeloma*) or *Coeloma* [*sensu lato*], reference is made to SCHWEITZER *et al.* (2010, p. 137).

Species	Geographic and stratigraphic distribution, plus pertinent references
<i>C. balticum</i>	northern Germany, the Netherlands; mid-Eocene to Lower Oligocene SCHLÜTER (1879)*; NOETLING (1885); POSTHUMUS (1923); DE NEVE (1945); BACHMAYER & MUNDLOS (1968); GRAMANN & MUTTERLOSE (1975); SPAINK (1978); LIENAU (1984), FREESS (1992); FRAAIJE (2003), POLKOWSKY (2005), FRAAIJE <i>et al.</i> (2007)
<i>C. bicarinatum</i>	Greenland; Lower Oligocene RAVN (1904); LARSEN <i>et al.</i> (2002)
<i>C. birsteini</i>	Mangyshlak (Kazakhstan); Oligocene ILYIN (2005)*
<i>C. credneri</i>	Germany; Upper Oligocene NOETLING (1881)*, GRAMANN & MUTTERLOSE (1975), POLKOWSKY (2005)
<i>C. incarinatum</i>	Denmark; Upper Oligocene-Lower Miocene SORGENFREI (1940)*, MOTHS & MONTAG (2002)
<i>C. latifrons</i>	northern Germany; Lower Oligocene FÖRSTER & MUNDLOS (1982)*, LIENAU (1984)
<i>C. taunicum</i>	central Germany; Middle Oligocene VON MEYER (1862)*, FREESS (1992)
<i>C. vigil</i>	northern Italy, Hungary, Slovakia, Crimea (Ukraine); Upper Eocene to ?Middle Oligocene A. MILNE-EDWARDS (1965)*, ALLASINAZ (1987), DE ANGELI & BESCHIN (2001), ILYIN (2005), HYŽNÝ (2007)

The range is Lower to Middle Oligocene, or even upper Oligocene;

3. forms with a long, straight orbital margin and clearly expressed areolation of branchial regions, to which were assigned: *C. granulatum* A. MILNE-EDWARDS, 1881 [Upper Eocene, southwest France], *C. vigil* A. MILNE-EDWARDS, 1865 [Lower Oligocene, northern Italy], *C. balticum* SCHLÜTER, 1879 [Middle Eocene-Lower Oligocene, Germany, the Netherlands), *C. reidemeisteri* NOETLING, 1885 [Lower Oligocene, northern Germany] and *C. taunicum* VON MEYER, 1862 [Middle Oligocene, central Germany]. This is *C.* (*Coeloma*); the stratigraphic range is Upper Eocene to Middle Oligocene.

BEURLEN (*in* LÖRENTHEY & BEURLEN, 1929, p. 243) also remarked that *C. credneri* NOETLING, 1881, the sole well-documented Late Oligocene form, differed very much from other groups in details of anterolateral margin and carapace areolation, occupying a different position, for which maybe a new subgenus or genus would have to be erected.

POLKOWSKY (2005, pp. 48-49) introduced the subgenus *Intercoeloma*, for *C. taunicum*, to comprise forms which were intermediate in morphology between species of *C.* (*Coeloma*) and *C.* (*Paracoeloma*), but

from his overview (pp. 53-55) of ‘diagnostic’ features of the various subgenera, it appears that there is quite some overlap and that distinctions are not at all clear cut. Although BEURLEN (*in* LÖRENTHEY & BEURLEN, 1929), in the original description of the subgenus *Paracoeloma*, did not specifically refer to well-developed protuberances on the branchial regions, most recent authors, inclusive of POLKOWSKY (2005, p. 53) have accepted the presence of such as a diagnostic character. The fact that the present specimen (Pl. 1) reveals such protuberances would favour alliance with this group. More research is needed to determine whether or not the subdivision into *Paracoeloma* and *Intercoeloma* is warranted; at present, we consider these subgenera to fall under the heading *Coeloma sensu lato*.

Based on the markedly flat carapace, with arched anterolateral and very long posterolateral margins and pronounced sexual dimorphism in chelipeds (male major cheliped much enlarged; see ARTAL & VÍA, 1989, pl. 1), it appears warranted to treat *Litoricola* as a distinct genus. Its taxonomic placement should be verified using well-preserved ventral characters which are available in diverse species referred to this genus. A suite of crabs, referred to as *Litoricola* by WOODWARD (1873) and preserved in the collections of the Sedgwick



Museum (University of Cambridge, England), confirms that *Xanthilites macrodactylus pyrenaicus* ARTAL & VÍA, 1989 does indeed belong to that genus (P. ARTAL, pers. comm., May 2010).

A final observation we wish to make is the fact that the short, near-vertical anterolateral margin and very wide orbits are features which *Coeloma* has in common with *Proterocarcinus* FELDMANN, CASADÍO, CHIRINO-GÁLVEZ & AGUIRRE-URRETA, 1995, which currently is assigned to the Macropipidae STEPHENSON & CAMPBELL, 1960. To date, this genus comprises four species from lower Paleogene to Neogene strata in Argentina and southern Chile (GLAESSNER, 1933; FELDMANN *et al.*, 1995, 2005, 2010; CASADÍO *et al.*, 2004). The two characters noted above distinguish both *Proterocarcinus* and *Coeloma* from the geryonids *Chaceon* MANNING & HOLTHUIS, 1989 and *Geryon* KRØYER, 1837.

### Acknowledgements

We thank J. Reynders for collecting the specimen and donating it to the Oertijdmuseum De Groene Poort, Boxtel, and the journal reviewers, P. Artal (Museo Geológico del Seminario de Barcelona) and A. Garassino (Museo civico di Storia naturale, Milano), for pertinent comments on an earlier version of the typescript.

### References

- ALLASINAZ, A., 1987. Brachyura Decapoda oligocenici (Rupeliano) del Bacino Ligure Piemontese. *Bollettino del Museo Regionale di Scienze Naturali Torino*, **5**: 509-566.
- ARTAL, P. & VÍA, L., 1989. *Xanthilites macrodactylus pyrenaicus* (Crustacea, Decapoda) nueva subespecie del Ilerdiense medio del Pirineo de Huesca. *Batalleria*, **2** (1988): 57-61.
- BACHMAYER, F. & MUNDLOS, R., 1968. Die tertiären Krebse von Helmstedt bei Braunschweig, Deutschland. *Annalen des Naturhistorischen Museums in Wien*, **72**: 649-692.
- BAKEL, B.W.M. VAN, ARTAL, P., FRAAIJE, R.H.B. & JAGT, J.W.M., 2009. A new early Oligocene crab (Decapoda, Brachyura, Dromiacea) from northwest Belgium, with comments on its palaeobiology. *Geologica Belgica*, **12**: 45-57.
- BAKEL, B.W.M. VAN, FRAAIJE, R.H. B. & JAGT, J.W.M., 2006. Synopsis of Cenozoic decapod crustaceans from Belgium. *Revista Mexicana de Ciencias Geológicas*, **23**: 370-374.
- BAKEL, B.W.M. VAN, JAGT, J.W.M. & FRAAIJE, R.H.B., 2003. *Ciliopagurus obesus*, a new species of Oligocene hermit crab from northwest Belgium. *Cainozoic Research*, **2** (2002): 109-116.
- BAUT, J.-P. & GÉNAULT, B., 1999. Les elasmobranches des Sables de Kerniel (Rupélien), à Gellik, nord est de la Belgique. *Memoirs of the Geological Survey of Belgium*, **45**: 1-61.
- CASADÍO, S., DE ANGELI, A., FELDMANN, R.M., GARASSINO, A., HETLER, J.L., PARRAS, A. & SCHWEITZER, C.E., 2004. New decapod crustaceans (Thalassinidea, Galatheaidea, Brachyura) from the middle Oligocene of Patagonia, Argentina. *Annals of Carnegie Museum*, **73**: 25-47.
- CLAES, S., FREDERICKX, E., GULLENTOPS, F. & FELDER, W., 2001. Toelichting bij de geologische kaart van België, Vlaams Gewest, Kaartblad 34, Tongeren 1:50.000. Brussel, Belgische Geologische Dienst en Afdeling Natuurlijke Rijkdommen en Energie, 56 pp.
- COLOSI, G., 1924. Crostacei decapodi della Cirenaica. *Memorie della Reale Comitato Talassografico Italiano*, **104**: 1-11 (misdated 1923).
- DE ANGELI, A. & BESCHIN, C., 2001. I crostacei fossili del territorio vicentino. *Natura Vicentina*, **5**: 5-54.
- DE GRAVE, S., PENTCHEFF, N.D., AHYONG, S.T., CHAN, T.-Y., CRANDALL, K.A., DWORSCHAK, P.C., FELDER, D.L., FELDMANN, R.M., FRANSEN, C.H.J.M., GOULDING, L.Y.D., LEMAITRE, R., LOW, M.E.Y., MARTIN, J.W., NG, P.K.L., SCHWEITZER, C.E., TAN, S.H., TSHUDY, D. & WETZER, R., 2009. A classification of living and fossil genera of decapod crustaceans. *The Raffles Bulletin of Zoology*, Supplement No. **21**: 1-109.
- DE NEVE, G.A., 1945. *Coeloma balticum* [sic] Schlüter uit het basal-conglomeraat van het Oligoceen in Oost-Nederland. *Geologie en Mijnbouw*, **7**: 8-10.
- FELDMANN, R.M., CASADÍO, S., CHIRINO-GÁLVEZ, L. & AGUIRRE-URRETA, M., 1995. Fossil decapod crustaceans from the Jagüel and Roca formations (Maastrichtian-Danian) of the Neuquén Basin, Argentina. *The Paleontological Society Memoir*, **43**: 1-22.
- FELDMANN, R.M., SCHWEITZER, C.E. & ENCINAS, A., 2005. New decapods from the Navidad Formation (Miocene) of Chile. *Journal of Crustacean Biology*, **25**: 427-449.
- FELDMANN, R.M., SCHWEITZER, C.E. & ENCINAS, A., 2010. Neogene decapod Crustacea from southern Chile. *Annals of Carnegie Museum*, **78**: 337-366.
- FÖRSTER, R. & MUNDLOS, R., 1982. Krebse aus dem Alttertiär von Helmstedt und Handorf (Niedersachsen). *Palaeontographica*, **A179**: 148-184.
- FRAAIJE, R.H.B., 2003. Paleogene krabben op het strand van Cadzand en Nieuwvliet-Bad. In: LINDEMANN, T. & FRAAIJE, R.H.B. (Editors). Gids voor strandfossielen van Cadzand en Nieuwvliet-Bad. Boxtel, Oertijdmuseum De Groene Poort, pp. 68-72.
- FRAAIJE, R.H.B., VAN BAKEL, B.W.M. & JAGT, J.W.M.,

2007. A new species of *Goniocypoda* and the first record of *Glyphithyreus wetherelli* (Bell, 1858) (Decapoda, Brachyura) from the Eocene of Nieuwvliet-Bad, The Netherlands. In: GARASSINO, A., FELDMANN, R.M. & TERUZZI, G. (Editors). 3<sup>rd</sup> Symposium on Mesozoic and Cenozoic decapod crustaceans, Museo di Storia Naturale di Milano, May 23-25, 2007. *Memorie della Società Italiana di Scienze Naturali e del Museo Civico di Storia Naturale di Milano*, **35**: 37-42.
- FREESS, W.B., 1992. Crustaceen aus den mitteloligozänen Meeressanden bei Leipzig. *Der Aufschluss*, **43**: 361-375.
- GLAESSNER, M.F., 1933. New Tertiary crabs in the collection of the British Museum. *Annals and Magazine of Natural History*, (10) **12**: 1-28.
- GOLDFUSS, A., 1837. Petrefacta Germaniæ tam ea, quae in museo universitatis regiae Borussicae Fridericiae Wilhelmae Rhenanae servantur quam alia quae cunque in museis hoeninghusiano, muensteriano aliisque extant, iconibus et descriptionibus illustrata. Abbildungen und Beschreibungen der Petrefacten Deutschlands und der angränzenden Länder, unter Mitwirkung des Herrn Grafen Georg zu Münster. Düsseldorf, Arnz & Co., pp. 69-140.
- GRAMANN, F. & MUTTERLOSE, J., 1975. Krebsfunde aus dem Alttertiär am Sarstedt-Lehrter Salzstock (Dekapoda [*sic*], Eozän, Oligozän, Niedersachsen) (Das Nordwestdeutsche Tertiärbecken, Beitrag Nr. 17). *Berichte der Naturhistorischen Gesellschaft Hannover*, **119**: 379-401.
- HYŽNÝ, M., 2007. Paleogene crab fauna of Borové Formation (localities Ďurkovec and Hlinisko), western Carpathians, Slovakia. In: GARASSINO, A., FELDMANN, R.M. & TERUZZI, G. (Editors). 3<sup>rd</sup> Symposium on Mesozoic and Cenozoic decapod crustaceans, Museo di Storia Naturale di Milano, May 23-25, 2007. *Memorie della Società Italiana di Scienze Naturali e del Museo Civico di Storia Naturale di Milano*, **35**: 59-61.
- ILYIN, I.V., 2005. Melovye i paleogenovye desiatinogie rakoobraznye (Crustaceomorpha, Decapoda) zapadnoii chasti Severnoi Evrazii. Moskva, Izdatel'stvo Moskovskogo Universiteta, 295 + ii pp.
- KARASAWA, H. & KATO, H., 2003. The family Goneplacidae MacLeay, 1838 (Crustacea: Decapoda: Brachyura): systematics, phylogeny, and fossil records. *Paleontological Research*, **7**: 129-151.
- KRØYER, H., 1837. *Geryon tridens*, en ny Krabbe. *Naturhistorisk Tidsskrift*, **1**: 10-21.
- LAGA, P., LOUWYÉ, S. & GEETS, S., 2002. Paleogene and Neogene lithostratigraphic units (Belgium). In: BULTYNCK, P. & DEJONGHE, L. (Editors). Guide to a revised lithostratigraphic scale of Belgium. *Geologica Belgica*, **4** (2001): 135-152.
- LARSEN, M., PIASECKI, S. & STEMMERIK, L., 2002. The post-basaltic Palaeogene and Neogene sediments at Kap Dalton and Savoia Halvø, East Greenland. *Geology of Greenland Survey Bulletin*, **191**: 103-110.
- LIENAU, H.-W., 1984. Die marinen Deckschichten (Mitteleozän-Unteroligozän) der Helmstedter Braunkohlen (Niedersachsen, BRD). *Documenta naturae*, **22**: 1-120.
- LÖRENTHEY, E., 1898. Beiträge zur Decapodenfauna des ungarischen Tertiärs. *Természettudományi Közlemények*, **21**: 1-133.
- LÖRENTHEY, E. & BEURLEN, K., 1929. Die fossilen Dekapoden der Länder der Ungarischen Krone. *Geologica Hungarica, Series Palaeontologica*, **3**: 1-420.
- MANNING, R.B. & HOLTHUIS, L.B., 1989. Two new genera and nine new species of geryonid crabs (Crustacea, Decapoda, Geryonidae). *Proceedings of the Biological Society of Washington*, **102**: 50-77.
- MEYER, H. VON, 1861-1863. Tertiäre Decapoden aus den Alpen, von Oeningen und dem Taunus. *Palaeontographica*, **10**: 147-178.
- MILNE-EDWARDS, A., 1865. Monographie des crustacés de la famille Cancériens. *Annales des Sciences naturelles (Zoologie)*, (5)**3**: 297-351.
- MILNE-EDWARDS, A., 1881. Note sur quelques crustacés fossils des environs de Biarritz. *Annales des Sciences géologiques*, **11**: 1-8.
- MOTHS, H. & MONTAG, A., 2002. Tertiäre dekapode Krebse aus Geschieben und dem Anstehenden Norddeutschlands und Dänemarks. *Der Geschiebesammler*, **35**: 3-30.
- NOETLING, F., 1881. Ueber einige Brachyuren aus dem Senon von Maastricht [*sic*] und dem Tertiär Norddeutschlands. *Zeitschrift der deutschen geologischen Gesellschaft*, **33**: 357-371.
- NOETLING, F., 1885. Die Fauna des samländischen Tertiärs, I. Theil, Lieferung II: Crustacea und Vermes. *Abhandlungen zur geologischen Specialkarte von Preussen und den Thüringischen Staaten*, **6** (3): i + 111-172 [382-442]; atlas, pls. 1-9.
- POLKOWSKY, S., 2005. Decapode Krebse aus dem oberoligozänen Sternberger Gestein von Kobrow (Mecklenburg). *Tassados*, **1**: 126 + iv pp.
- POSTHUMUS, O., 1923. Bijdragen tot de kennis der palaeontologie van Nederland. 2. Over de fauna der fosfaatlagen in Twente (Beneden-Oligoceen). *Verslag van de Gewone Vergadering der wiskundig-natuurkundige Afdeling van de Koninklijke Akademie der Wetenschappen*, **32**: 367-368.
- RATHBUN, M.J., 1926. The fossil stalk-eyed Crustacea of the Pacific slope of North America. *Bulletin of the United States National Museum*, **138**: viii + 155 pp.
- RAVN, J.P.J., 1904. The Tertiary fauna at Kap Dalton in East-Greenland. *Meddelelser om Grønland*, **29**: 95-140.
- SCHLÜTER, C., 1879. Neue und weniger gekannte Kreide- und Tertiär-Krebse des nördlichen Deutschlands. *Zeitschrift der deutschen geologischen Gesellschaft*, **31**: 586-615.

- SCHWEITZER, C.E., FELDMANN, R.M., GARASSINO, A., KARASAWA, H. & SCHWEIGERT, G., 2010. Systematic list of fossil decapod crustacean species. *Crustaceana Monographs*, **10**: viii + 221 pp.
- SORGENFREI, T., 1940. Marint Nedre-Miocæn i Klintinghoved paa Als. Et Bidrag til Løsning af Aquitanien-Spørgsmaalet. *Dansk Geologiske Undersøgelse*, (2) **65**: 1-143.
- SPAINK, G., 1978. Het Eocæn in de lokaal moraine von Lossen. *Staringia*, **4**: 8-39.
- STAINIER, X., 1887. *Coeloma rupeliense*, brachyure nouveau de l'argile rupélienne. *Annales de la Société géologique de Belgique*, **14**: 86-96.
- STAINIER, X. & BERNAYS, E., 1899. Identification du *Cœloma rupeliense* (Stainier) et du *Cœloma holzaticum* (Stolley). *Bulletin de la Société belge de Géologie, de Paléontologie et d'Hydrologie*, **13**: 207-217.
- STEPHENSON, W. & CAMPBELL, B., 1960. The Australian portunids (Crustacea: Portunida), IV. Remaining genera. *Australian Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research*, **11**: 73-122.
- STOLLEY, E., 1890. Ueber zwei neue Brachyuren aus dem mitteloligocænen Septarienthon Norddeutschlands. *Mitteilungen aus dem Mineralogischen Institut der Universität Kiel*, **1**: 151-173.
- VAN BENEDEN, P. -J., 1883. Sur quelques formes nouvelles des terrains tertiaires du pays. *Bulletin de l'Académie royale de Belgique*, (3) **6**: 132-134.
- VANDENBERGHE, N., HERMAN, J. & STEURBAUT, E., 2002. Detailed analysis of the Rupelian Ru-1 transgressive surface in the type area (Belgium). In: GÜRS, K. (Editor). Proceedings of the 8<sup>th</sup> Biannual Meeting of the RCNNS/RCNPS, Northern European Cenozoic stratigraphy. Flintbek, Landesamt für Natur und Umwelt des Landes Schleswig-Holstein, pp. 67-81.
- VANDENBERGHE, N., VAN SIMAEYS, S., STEURBAUT, E., JAGT, J.W.M. & FELDER, P.J., 2004. Stratigraphic architecture of the Upper Cretaceous and Cenozoic along the southern border of the North Sea Basin in Belgium. *Netherlands Journal of Geosciences*, **83**: 155-171.
- VAN STRAELEN, V., 1921. Catalogue des crustacés décapodes des terrains tertiaires de la Belgique. *Annales de la Société royale Zoologique et Malacologique de Belgique*, **51** (1920): 111-131 [1-23].
- VERHEYDEN, T., 2002. Decapods from the Boom Clay (Rupelian, Oligocene) in Belgium. *Bulletin de l'Institut royal des Sciences naturelles de Belgique, Sciences de la Terre*, **72**: 171-191.
- WOODWARD, H., 1873. Further notes on Eocene Crustacea from Portsmouth. *Quarterly Journal of the Geological Society of London*, **29**: 25-31.

John W.M. JAGT  
Natuurhistorisch Museum Maastricht  
De Bosquetplein 6-7  
NL-6211 KJ Maastricht, The Netherlands  
E-mail: john.jagt@maastricht.nl

Barry W.M. VAN BAKEL  
Oertijdmuseum De Groene Poort  
Bosscheweg 80  
NL-5283 WB Boxtel, The Netherlands  
and  
Nederlands Centrum voor Biodiversiteit (Naturalis)  
Postbus 9517  
NL-2300 RA Leiden, the Netherlands  
E-mail: barryvanbakel@gmail.com

René H.B. FRAAIJE  
Oertijdmuseum De Groene Poort  
Bosscheweg 80  
NL-5283 WB Boxtel, The Netherlands  
E-mail: info@oertijdmuseum.nl

Typescript submitted: April 6, 2010  
Revised typescript received: June 25, 2010



**Explanation of the plate**

## PLATE 1

*Coeloma rupeliense* STAINIER, 1887 (MAB k. 2866), dorsal view of carapace and left cheliped, from the Kleine Spouwen Member (Bilzen Formation, Rupel Group; lower Oligocene, Rupelian) between Vroenhoven and Veldwezelt (temporary Albertkanaal sections, early 1990s), province of Limburg, northeast Belgium; coated with ammonium chloride prior to photography.

